# Collective phenomena and shell structure far from stability

### Frédéric Nowacki<sup>1</sup>



FRIB-TA Topical Program: Theoretical Justifications and Motivations for Early High-Profile FRIB Experiments

16-26 mai 2023 Facility for Rare Isotope Beams



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Strasbourg-Madrid Shell-Model collaboration

THE DRAMA:

### THE DRAMA:

• Theorists here in the room :

"None ! We don't need any !"

### THE DRAMA:

• Theorists here in the room :

"None ! We don't need any !"

• Experimentalists here in the room : "All of them ! Let's measure all of them !"

### THE DRAMA:

• Theorists here in the room :

"None ! We don't need any !"

• Experimentalists here in the room : "All of them ! Let's measure all of them !"

Fortunately, life has made us more reasonable ... and things more simple !

# Shell Model: Physics Goals

#### **Collective excitations:**

• Deformation, Superdeformation,

Dipole/M1 resonances

- Superfluidity
- Symmetries



- define Effective Interaction
- $\mathcal{H}_{eff}\Psi_{eff} = E\Psi_{eff}$
- build and diagonalize Energy matrix

#### Weak processes:

- β decay
- ββ decay

 $[T^{0\nu}_{1/2}(0^+ \to 0^+)]^{-1} = G_{0\nu} |M^{0\nu}|^2 \langle m_\nu \rangle^2$ 





- · Vanishing of shell closures
- · New magic numbers





#### Ab Initio calculations:

- Chiral EFT realistic interactions
- 3N forces

# Shell Model: Giant Computations

 exponential growth of basis dimensions:

$$D \sim \left( egin{array}{c} d_{\pi} \ p \end{array} 
ight) \cdot \left( egin{array}{c} d_{
u} \ n \end{array} 
ight)$$

In *pf* shell : <sup>48</sup>Cr 1,963,461 <sup>56</sup>Ni **1,087,455,228** In *pf-sdg* space : <sup>78</sup>Ni **210,046,691,518** 

- Actual limits in limits in giant diagonalizations: 0.2 10<sup>12</sup> for <sup>114</sup>Sn core excitations
- Some of the largest diagonalizations ever are performed in Strasbourg with relatively modest computationnal ressources:

Phys. Rev. C82 (2010) 054301, ibidem 064304

- <u>m scheme</u> ANTOINE code
- coupled scheme
- NATHAN code

E. Caurier et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 77 (2005) 427; ANTOINE website



- Largest matrices up to now contain up to ~ 10<sup>14</sup> non-zero matrix elements.
- This would require more than 1,000,000
   CD-ROM's to store the information for a single matrix !
- They cannot be stored on hard disk and are computed on the fly.

### **Discrete Non-Orthogonal Shell Model**

### Generator Coordinate Method: $|\Psi_{eff}\rangle = \sum_{i} f_{i} |\Phi_{i}\rangle$

- 1) Deformed Hartree-Fock (HF) Slater determinants
- 2) Restoration of rotational symmetry
- 3) Mixing of shapes:

?

### **Basis Truncation Method**

choice of relevant deformed Hartree-Fock states

• E. Caurier's Minimization Technique:

(E. Caurier, Proc. on GCM, BLG report 484 (1975))



- Based on the variational principle
- Minimization of the energy of given states {J<sup>π</sup>}
- Iterative procedure:

$$\Phi_1 \longrightarrow (\Phi_1, \Phi_2) \longrightarrow (\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3) \cdots$$

$$N = 1$$

$$N = 1$$

$$N = 2$$

$$N = 3$$

# Discrete Non-Orthogonal Shell Model

### **Generator Coordinate Method**: $|\Psi_{\text{eff}}\rangle = \sum_{i} f_{i} |\Phi_{i}\rangle$

- 1) Deformed Hartree-Fock (HF) Slater determinants
- 2) Restoration of rotational symmetry
- 3) Mixing of shapes:

# $|\Psi_{\rm eff}\rangle$ = + + + - ·

### Intrinsic/Laboratory Description

• Deformation structure of nuclear states:  $\{J^{\pi}_{\alpha}\}, q = (\beta, \gamma)$ 

$$\mathcal{M}^{(J)}_{lpha}(q, {\cal K}) = \sum_{q', {\cal K}'} [\hat{N}^{1/2}]^{(J)}_{{\cal K}'{\cal K}}(q', q) \, f^{(J)}_{lpha}(q', {\cal K}')$$



♦ Probability of a configuration  $(\beta, \gamma)$ :

$$\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}^{(J)}(q) = \sum_{K} \left| \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{(J)}(q,K) \right|^2$$

• particle-hole interpretation:



• K-quantum numbers:

$$P_{\alpha}^{(J)}(K) = \sum_{q} \left| M_{\alpha}^{(J)}(q,K) \right|^2$$

M-scheme

# **Discrete Non-Orthogonal Shell Model**

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 105, 054314 (2022)

Nuclear structure within a discrete nonorthogonal shell model approach: New frontiers

D. D. Dao 
and F. Nowacki Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR7178, 23 rue du Loess, F-67000 Strasbourg, France

(Received 8 March 2022; accepted 6 May 2022; published 23 May 2022)



First "SM" calculations for superheavies !!!

### Landscape of medium mass nuclei



### Landscape of medium mass nuclei



# **Development of deformation at N=8,20,40,70**

F. Nowacki, A. Obertelli and A. Poves

Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics 120 (2021) 103866

Magic numbers are associated to energy gaps in the spherical mean field. Therefore, to promote particles above the Fermi levels costs energy However some intruders configurations can overwhelm their loss of monopole energy with their huge gain in correlation energy Several examples of this phenomenon exist in stable magic nuclei (as in <sup>40</sup>Ca nucleus) in the form of coexisting spherical, deformed and superdeformed states in a very narrow energy range At the very neutron rich or very proton rich edges, the T=0 and T=1 channels of the effective nuclear interaction weight very differently than they do at the stability line. Therefore the effective single particle structure may suffer important changes, leading in some cases to the vanishing of established shell closures or to the appearance of new ones

Fig. 40. Schematic view of the valence spaces at N = 8, 20, 40 and 70. The intruder configurations that develop quadrupole collectivity are highlighted.

### **Development of deformation at N=8,20,40,70**

F. Nowacki, A. Obertelli and A. Poves

Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics 120 (2021) 103866



Fig. 40. Schematic view of the valence spaces at N = 8, 20, 40 and 70. The intruder configurations that develop quadrupole collectivity are highlighted.

### **Development of deformation at N=14,28,50,82**

F. Nowacki, A. Obertelli and A. Poves

Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics 120 (2021) 103866



Fig. 41. Schematic view of the valence spaces at N = 14, 28, 50 and 82. The intruder configurations that develop quadrupole collectivity are highlighted.

### The nuclear interaction: the complex view



P. Klee, art



### The nuclear interaction: the simple view



J. Miro, art



### Separation of the effective Hamiltonian Monopole and multipole

From the work of M. Dufour and A. Zuker (PRC 54 1996 1641) Separation theorem:

Any effective interaction can be split in two parts:

 $H = H_{monopole} + H_{multipole}$ 

### Hmonopole: spherical mean-field

responsible for the global saturation properties and for the evolution of the spherical single particle levels.

*H<sub>multipole</sub>*: correlator

pairing, quadrupole, octupole...

Important property:

 $\langle CS \pm 1 | H | CS \pm 1 \rangle = \langle CS \pm 1 | H_{monopole} | CS \pm 1 \rangle$ 

 $H_{multipole}$  can be written in two representations, particle-particle and particle-hole. Both can be brought into a diagonal form. When this is done, it comes out that only a few terms are coherent, and those are the simplest ones:

- L = 0 isovector and isoscalar pairing
- Elliott's quadrupole
- $\bullet \ \vec{\sigma}\vec{\tau}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\vec{\tau}$
- Octupole and hexadecapole terms of the type  $r^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda} \cdot r^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda}$

	pp(JT)			$ph(\lambda au)$			
	10	01	21	20	40	10	11
KB USD-A CCEI NN+NNN-MBPT NN-MBPT	-5.83 -5.62 -6.79 -6.40 -6.06	-4.96 -5.50 -4.68 -4.36 -4.38	-3.21 -3.17 -2.93 -2.91 -2.92	-3.53 -3.24 -3.40 -3.28 -3.35	-1.38 -1.60 -1.39 -1.23 -1.31	+1.61 +1.56 +1.21 +1.10 +1.03	+3.00 +2.99 +2.83 +2.43 +2.49



 $H_{multipole}$  can be written in two representations, particle-particle and particle-hole. Both can be brought into a diagonal form. When this is done, it comes out that only a few terms are coherent, and those are the simplest ones:

- L = 0 isovector and isoscalar pairing
- Elliott's quadrupole
- $\bullet \ \vec{\sigma}\vec{\tau}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\vec{\tau}$
- Octupole and hexadecapole terms of the type  $r^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda} \cdot r^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda}$

	pp(JT)			$ph(\lambda au)$			
	10	01	21	20	40	10	11
KB USD-A CCEI NN+NNN-MBPT NN-MBPT	-5.83 -5.62 -6.79 -6.40 -6.06	-4.96 -5.50 -4.68 -4.36 -4.38	-3.21 -3.17 -2.93 -2.91 -2.92	-3.53 -3.24 -3.40 -3.28 -3.35	-1.38 -1.60 -1.39 -1.23 -1.31	+1.61 +1.56 +1.21 +1.10 +1.03	+3.00 +2.99 +2.83 +2.43 +2.49

 $H_{multipole}$  can be written in two representations, particle-particle and particle-hole. Both can be brought into a diagonal form. When this is done, it comes out that only a few terms are coherent, and those are the simplest ones:

- L = 0 isovector and isoscalar pairing
- Elliott's quadrupole
- $\bullet \ \vec{\sigma}\vec{\tau}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\vec{\tau}$
- Octupole and hexadecapole terms of the type  $r^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda} \cdot r^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda}$

		pp(J1)			ph	$(\lambda  au)$	
	10	01	21	20	40	10	11
KB USD-A CCEI NN+NNN-MBPT NN-MBPT	-5.83 -5.62 -6.79 -6.40 -6.06	-4.96 -5.50 -4.68 -4.36 -4.38	3.21 3.17 2.93 2.91 2.92	-3.53 -3.24 -3.40 -3.28 -3.35	-1.38 -1.60 -1.39 -1.23 -1.31	+1.61 +1.56 +1.21 +1.10 +1.03	+3.00 +2.99 +2.83 +2.43 +2.49

 $H_{multipole}$  can be written in two representations, particle-particle and particle-hole. Both can be brought into a diagonal form. When this is done, it comes out that only a few terms are coherent, and those are the simplest ones:

- L = 0 isovector and isoscalar pairing
- Elliott's quadrupole
- $\bullet \ \vec{\sigma}\vec{\tau}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\vec{\tau}$
- Octupole and hexadecapole terms of the type  $r^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda} \cdot r^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda}$

	10	pp(JT) 01	21	20	ph 40	(λτ) 10	11
KB	-5.83	-4.96	-3.21	-3.53	-1.38	+1.61	+3.00
USD-A	-5.62	-5.50	-3.17	-3.24	-1.60	+1.56	+2.99
CCEI	-6.79	-4.68	-2.93	-3.40	-1.39	+1.21	+2.83
NN+NNN-MBPT	-6.40	-4.36	-2.91	-3.28	-1.23	+1.10	+2.43
NN-MBPT	-6.06	-4.38	-2.92	-3.35	-1.31	+1.03	+2.49

### Landscape of medium mass nuclei



In the valence space of two major shells



EFFECTIVE INTERACTION: SDPF-U-MIX (update 2020)

### Island of Inversion: Trends



Spin-Tensor decomposition shows it is mainly a Central and Tensor effect

### Islands Of Inversion: Trends



### **Further away from Stability**



- At the neutron drip line, the ESPE's of <sup>28</sup>O are completely at variance with those of <sup>40</sup>Ca at the stability valley. The change from the standard ESPE's of <sup>16</sup>O to the anomalous ones in <sup>28</sup>O is totally due to the interactions of *sd* shell neutrons among themselves
- Notice that the *sd* shell orbits remain always below th *pf* shell with the  $\nu 0 f_{\frac{7}{2}}$ and  $\nu 0 p_{\frac{3}{2}} - 0 p_{\frac{1}{2}}$  orbitals DO get inverted
- The monopole part of the neutron-proton interaction restores the N=20 shell gap when the valley of stability is approached
- Shell Evolution favors natural geometry for low-lying M1 excitations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \nu 1 s_{\frac{1}{2}} & \nu 1 p_{\frac{3}{2}} \\ \nu 0 d_{\frac{3}{2}} & \otimes & \nu 1 p_{\frac{1}{2}} \end{array}$$

### Island of Inversion: Trends



- At the neutron drip line, the ESPE's of <sup>28</sup>O are completely at variance with those of <sup>40</sup>Ca at the stability valley. The change from the standard ESPE's of <sup>16</sup>O to the anomalous ones in <sup>28</sup>O is totally due to the interactions of *sd* shell neutrons among themselves
- Notice that the *sd* shell orbits remain always below th *pf* shell with the  $\nu 0f_{\frac{2}{2}}$  and  $\nu 0p_{\frac{3}{2}} 0p_{\frac{1}{2}}$  orbitals DO get inverted
- The monopole part of the neutron-proton interaction restores the N=20 shell gap when the valley of stability is approached
- Spin-Tensor decomposition shows it is mainly a Central and Tensor effect

# Inverse shape coexistence Shell closure in <sup>32</sup>Mg



### Merging of IOIs at N=20 and N=28









RAPID COMMUNICATIONS

#### PHYSICAL REVIEW C 85, 011302(R) (2012)

#### Low-lying neutron fp-shell intruder states in 27Ne

S. M. Brown,<sup>1</sup> W. N. Catford,<sup>1</sup> J. S. Thomas,<sup>1</sup> B. Fernández-Domínguez,<sup>2+</sup> N. A. Ort,<sup>2</sup> M. Labiche,<sup>4</sup> M. Rejmund,<sup>3</sup> N. L. Achouri,<sup>2</sup> H. Al Falou,<sup>2</sup> N. I. Ashwood,<sup>1</sup> D. Beaunel,<sup>1</sup> Y. Blumenfold,<sup>2</sup> B. A. Brown,<sup>8</sup> R. Chapman,<sup>4</sup> M. Chartier,<sup>1</sup> N. Curtis,<sup>6</sup> G. de France,<sup>2</sup> N. de Sereville,<sup>2</sup> F. Delanay,<sup>2</sup> A. Drouart,<sup>10</sup> C. Force,<sup>2</sup> S. Franchoo,<sup>7</sup> J. Guillot,<sup>2</sup> H Baigh,<sup>6</sup> F. Hammache,<sup>7</sup> V. Lapoux,<sup>9</sup> R. C. Lemmon,<sup>7</sup> A. Leprince,<sup>2</sup> F. Marcchal,<sup>7</sup> X. Mougott,<sup>10</sup> B. Mouginot,<sup>21</sup> J. Kulpas,<sup>10</sup> A. Naris, <sup>10</sup> N. Petters,<sup>10</sup> C. Collacco,<sup>20</sup> A. Rams, <sup>1</sup> J. Ascarting,<sup>11</sup> L. Stefan,<sup>11</sup> and G. L. Wilson<sup>1</sup>

LOW-LYING NEUTRON fp-SHELL INTRUDER STATES ....

TABLE I. Comparison between experimental and calculated (see text) excitation energies and spectroscopic factors for states in <sup>27</sup>Ne. Experimental excitation energies are from [10] except for the 1.74-MeV state (present work). For  $C^2S$ , the errors include uncertainties from the reaction model.

$J^{\pi}$	$E_{exp}^*$	$E^*_{WBP-M}$	$C^2S$					
	(MeV)	(MeV)	Ref. [10]	Present	WBP-M			
3/2+	0	0	0.2(2)	0.42(22)	0.63			
3/2-	0.765	0.809	0.6(2)	0.64(33)	0.67			
$1/2^{+}$	0.885	0.869	0.3(1)	0.17(14)	0.17			
7/2-	1.74	1.686	- 1	0.35(10)	0.40			

At the neutron drip line, the ESPE's of <sup>28</sup>O are completely at variance with those of <sup>40</sup>Ca at the stability valley. The change from the standard ESPE's of <sup>16</sup>O to the anomalous ones in <sup>28</sup>O is totally due to the interactions of *sd* shell neutrons among themselves

Notice that the *sd* shell orbits remain always below th *pf* shell with the  $\nu 0f_{\frac{7}{2}}$  and  $\nu 0p_{\frac{3}{2}} - 0p_{\frac{1}{2}}$ orbitals DO get inverted

 The monopole part of the neutron-proton interaction restores the N=20 shell gap when the valley of stability is approached

Evidence for shell inversion towards <sup>28</sup>O



#### PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 124, 152502 (2020)



- At the neutron drip line, the ESPE's of <sup>28</sup>O are completely at variance with those of <sup>40</sup>Ca at the stability valley.
- Notice that the *sd* shell orbits remain always below th *pf* shell with the  $\nu 0f_{\frac{7}{2}}$  and  $\nu 0p_{\frac{3}{2}} - 0p_{\frac{1}{2}}$ orbitals DO get inverted
- Recent evidence for intruder states in <sup>28</sup>F low-lying spectrum
- In addition, extraction of 80% of "l=1" content in the GS



At the neutron drip line, the ESPE's of <sup>28</sup>O are completely at variance with those of <sup>40</sup>Ca at the stability valley. The change from the standard ESPE's of <sup>16</sup>O to the anomalous ones in <sup>28</sup>O is totally due to the interactions of *sd* shell neutrons among themselves

• Notice that the *sd* shell orbits remain always below th *pf* shell with the  $\nu 0f_{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\nu 0p_{\frac{3}{2}} - 0p_{\frac{1}{2}}$  orbitals DO get inverted

- The monopole part of the neutron-proton interaction restores the N=20 shell gap when the valley of stability is approached
- New <sup>30</sup>F data from NeuLAND-SAMOURAI collaboration (J. Kahlbow phD work, submitted)
- <sup>38</sup>P separation energy +  $p_{3/2}$ - $f_{7/2}$ splitting matches Fluorine chain  $S_n$  trend

### Landscape of medium mass nuclei



# Island of inversion at N=40, an old story: 1996

The Physics around the doubly-magic <sup>78</sup>Ni Nucleus



A. Poves



ў(0ph-2ph) = 5.70 9(0ph-Yph) = 8.30

$Q = -9.0 \ b^2$ BEZ = 19.8 $b^{y}$	CS < 1% $W(dS_{2}) = 1.1$
$\frac{\mathcal{E}(Y^+)}{\mathcal{E}(z^+)} = 2.7$	$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{\mathcal{E}(Y^4)} \\ \overline{\mathcal{E}(Z^4)} = (3.2)(3.4) \end{bmatrix}$
	in The intender

A SITUATION THAT REMINDS WHAT IS KNOWN AT N=20 FFS.

### More recent experimental information

RAPID COMMUNICATION

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 81, 051304(R) (2010)

#### Collectivity at N = 40 in neutron-rich <sup>64</sup>Cr

 A. Gade, <sup>1,2</sup> R. V. F. Janssens, <sup>3</sup> T. Baugher, <sup>1,2</sup> D. Bazin, <sup>1</sup> B. A. Brown, <sup>1,2</sup> M. P. Carpenter, <sup>3</sup> C. J. Chiara, <sup>3,4</sup> A. N. Deacon, <sup>5</sup>
 S. J. Freeman, <sup>5</sup> G. F. Grinyer, <sup>1</sup> C. R. Hoffman, <sup>3</sup> B. P. Kay, <sup>3</sup> F. G. Kondev, <sup>6</sup> T. Lauritsen, <sup>3</sup> S. McDaniel, <sup>1,2</sup> K. Meierbachtol, <sup>1,7</sup> A. Ratkiewicz, <sup>1,2</sup> S. R. Stroberg, <sup>1,2</sup> K. A. Walsh, <sup>1,2</sup> D. Weisshaar, <sup>1</sup> R. Winkler, <sup>1</sup> and S. Zhu<sup>3</sup>
 <sup>1</sup>National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA
 <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA

RAPID COMMUNICATION

#### PHYSICAL REVIEW C 81, 061301(R) (2010)

#### Onset of collectivity in neutron-rich Fe isotopes: Toward a new island of inversion?

J. Ljungvall,<sup>1,2,3</sup> A. Görgen,<sup>1</sup> A. Obertelli,<sup>1</sup> W. Korten,<sup>1</sup> E. Clément,<sup>2</sup> G. de France,<sup>2</sup> A. Bürger,<sup>4</sup> J.-P. Delaroche,<sup>5</sup> A. Dewald,<sup>6</sup> A. Gadea,<sup>7</sup> L. Gaudefroy,<sup>5</sup> M. Girod,<sup>5</sup> M. Hackstein,<sup>6</sup> J. Libert,<sup>8</sup> D. Mengoni,<sup>9</sup> F. Nowacki,<sup>10</sup> T. Pissulla,<sup>6</sup> A. Poves,<sup>11</sup> F. Recchia,<sup>12</sup> M. Rejmund,<sup>2</sup> W. Rother,<sup>6</sup> E. Sahin,<sup>12</sup> C. Schmitt,<sup>2</sup> A. Shrivastava,<sup>2</sup> K. Sieja,<sup>10</sup> J. J. Valiente-Dobón,<sup>12</sup> K. O. Zell,<sup>6</sup> and M. Zielińska<sup>13</sup> <sup>1</sup>CEA Saclay, IRFU, Service de Physique Nucléaire, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France <sup>2</sup>GANIL, CEA/DSM-CNRSIN2P3, Bd Henri Becquerel, BP 55027, F-14076 Caen, France

3 CONCH CONCERNMENT FOLIAS OF

### SM framework



Island of inversion around <sup>64</sup>Cr

- S. Lenzi, F. Nowacki, A. Poves and K. Sieja
- Phys. Rev. C82, 054301, 2010



### LNPS interaction:

- based on realistic TBME
- new fit of the pf shell (KB3GR, E. Caurier)
- monopole corrections
- g<sub>9/2</sub>-d<sub>5/2</sub> gap now constrained to 2.5 Mev in <sup>68</sup>Ni

### Calculations:

- Up to 14ħω excitations across Z=28 and N=40 gaps
- Matrix diagonalizations up to 2.10<sup>10</sup>
- m-scheme code ANTOINE (non public parallel version)

# Triple coexistence in <sup>68</sup>Ni

- at first approximation, <sup>68</sup>Ni has a double closed shell structure for GS
- But low lying structure much more complex
- three coexisting 0<sup>+</sup> states appear between 0 and  $\sim$  2.5 MeV
- new location of 0<sup>+</sup><sub>2</sub> state ! Configuration mixing and relative transition rates between low-spin states in <sup>68</sup>Ni: F. Recchia et al. Phys. Rev. C88, 041302(R) (2013)
- prediction of very low-lying superdeformed band ( $\beta_2 \sim 0.4$ ) of 6p6h nature! •S. Lenzi et al. Phys. Rev. C82, 054301 (2010) •A. Dijon et al. Phys. Rev. C85, 0311301(R) (2012)



### Shape transition at N=40



<sup>68</sup> Ni	0.98	0.10	0p0h(51%)
<sup>66</sup> Fe	3.17	0.46	4p4h(26%)
<sup>64</sup> Cr	3.41	0.76	6p6h(23%)
<sup>62</sup> Ti	3.17	1.09	4p4h(48%)

### Shape transition at N=40



	,	,	
<sup>68</sup> Ni	0.98	0.10	0p0h(51%)
<sup>66</sup> Fe	3.17	0.46	4p4h(26%)
<sup>64</sup> Cr	3.41	0.76	6p6h(23%)
<sup>62</sup> Ti	3.17	1.09	4p4h(48%)

### Shape transition at N=40



## Neutron effective single particle energies



- reduction of the v f<sub>5/2</sub>-g<sub>9/2</sub> gap with removing f<sub>7/2</sub> protons
- proximity of the quasi-SU3 partner d<sub>5/2</sub>
- inversion of d<sub>5/2</sub> and g<sub>9/2</sub> orbitals same ordering as CC calculations

- reduction of the  $\nu d_{3/2} f_{7/2}$  gap with removing  $d_{5/2}$  protons
- proximity of the quasi-SU3 partner *p*<sub>3/2</sub>
- inversion of  $p_{3/2}$  and  $f_{7/2}$  orbitals

# Neutron effective single particle energies



same ordering as CC calculations



- Evolution of Z=28 from N=40 to N=50
- Evolution of N=50 from Z=40 to Z=28



- H.O. sd-pf: <sup>42</sup>Si deformed
  - pf-sdg: 78Ni ???
  - sdg-phf: <sup>132</sup>Sn doubly magic

- Evolution of Z=14 from N=20 to N=28
- Evolution of Z=28 from N=40 to N=50
- Evolution of N=50 from Z=40 to Z=28



- H.O. sd-pf: <sup>42</sup>Si deformed
  - pf-sdg: <sup>78</sup>Ni ???
  - sdg-phf: <sup>132</sup>Sn doubly magic

- Evolution of Z=14 from N=20 to N=28
- Evolution of Z=28 from N=40 to N=50
- Evolution of N=50 from Z=40 to Z=28



• o. • sd-pf: <sup>42</sup>Si deformed

• <sup>78</sup>Ni ???

pf-sdg: sdg-phf:
 <sup>132</sup>Sn doubly magic

- Evolution of Z=14 from N=20 to N=28
- Evolution of Z=28 from N=40 to N=50
- Evolution of N=50 from Z=40 to Z=28

# Physics around <sup>78</sup>Ni





### **PFSDG-U** interaction:

- realistic TBME
- pf shell for protons and gds shell for neutrons
- monopole corrections ( 3N forces )
- sdg● proton and neutrons gap <sup>78</sup>Ni fixed to phenomenological derived values

### Calculations:

- excitations across Z=28 and N=50 gaps
- up to 5\*10<sup>10</sup> Slater Determinant basis states
- up to 3\*10<sup>13</sup> non-zero terms in the matrix!
- m-scheme code ANTOINE (non public version)
- J-scheme code NATHAN (parallelized version): 0.5\*10<sup>9</sup> J basis states

# Physics around <sup>78</sup>Ni





### **PFSDG-U** interaction:

- realistic TBME
- pf shell for protons and gds shell for neutrons
- monopole corrections ( 3N forces )
- sdg● proton and neutrons gap <sup>78</sup>Ni fixed to phenomenological derived values

### Calculations:

- excitations across Z=28 and N=50 gaps
- up to 5\*10<sup>10</sup> Slater Determinant basis states
- up to 3\*10<sup>13</sup> non-zero terms in the matrix!
- m-scheme code ANTOINE (non public version)
- J-scheme code NATHAN (parallelized version): 0.5\*10<sup>9</sup> J basis states

- At first approximation, <sup>78</sup>Ni has a double closed shell structure for GS
- But very low-lying competing structures
- From the diagonalization, the first excited states in <sup>78</sup>Ni are :
   0<sup>+</sup><sub>2</sub>-2<sup>+</sup><sub>1</sub> predicted at 2.6-2.9 MeV and to be deformed intruders of a **rotationnal band** !!!
- "1p1h" 2<sup>+</sup><sub>2</sub> predicted at ~ 3.1 MeV
- Necessity to go beyond (fpg g d 5/2) LNPS space and beyond ab-initio description
- Portal to a new Island of Inversion



(Duy Duc Dao, DNO-SM calc.,

Strasbourg)

- At first approximation, <sup>78</sup>Ni has a double closed shell structure for GS
- But very low-lying competing structures
- From the diagonalization, the first excited states in <sup>78</sup>Ni are :
   0<sup>+</sup><sub>2</sub>-2<sup>+</sup><sub>1</sub> predicted at 2.6-2.9 MeV and to be deformed intruders of a **rotationnal band** !!!
- "1p1h" 2<sup>+</sup><sub>2</sub> predicted at ~ 3.1 MeV
- Necessity to go beyond (fpg g d 2 d 2) LNPS space and beyond ab-initio description
- Portal to a new Island of Inversion F. Nowacki et al., PRL 177, 272501 (2016)



- At first approximation, <sup>78</sup>Ni has a double closed shell structure for GS
- But very low-lying competing structures
- From the diagonalization, the first excited states in <sup>78</sup>Ni are :
   0<sup>+</sup><sub>2</sub>-2<sup>+</sup><sub>1</sub> predicted at 2.6-2.9 MeV and to be deformed intruders of a **rotationnal band** !!!
- "1p1h" 2<sup>+</sup><sub>2</sub> predicted at ~ 3.1 MeV
- Necessity to go beyond (fpg g d 2 d 2) LNPS space and beyond ab-initio description
- Portal to a new Island of Inversion F. Nowacki et al., PRL 177, 272501 (2016)



- At first approximation, <sup>78</sup>Ni has a double closed shell structure for GS
- But very low-lying competing structures
- From the diagonalization, the first excited states in <sup>78</sup>Ni are :
   0<sup>+</sup><sub>2</sub>-2<sup>+</sup><sub>1</sub> predicted at 2.6-2.9 MeV and to be deformed intruders of a **rotationnal band** !!!
- "1p1h" 2<sup>+</sup><sub>2</sub> predicted at ~ 3.1 MeV
- Necessity to go beyond (fpg g d 2 d 2) LNPS space and beyond ab-initio description
- Portal to a new Island of Inversion F. Nowacki et al., PRL 177, 272501 (2016)





F. Nowacki et al., PRL **177**, 272501 (2016)



• At first approximation, <sup>78</sup>Ni has a double

# ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1155-x

# <sup>78</sup>Ni revealed as a doubly magic stronghold against nuclear deformation

R. Taniuchi<sup>1,2</sup>, C. Santamaria<sup>2,4</sup>, P. Doornenbal<sup>2,\*</sup>, A. Obertelli<sup>2,3,4</sup>, K. Yoneda<sup>2</sup>, G. Autheler<sup>3</sup>, H. Baba<sup>2</sup>, D. Calver<sup>3</sup>, F. Chåteau<sup>3</sup>, A. Corsi<sup>3</sup>, A. Dellart<sup>3</sup>, J.-M. Gheller<sup>3</sup>, A. Gillibert<sup>3</sup>, J. D. Holt<sup>5</sup>, T. Isobe<sup>2</sup>, V. Lapoux<sup>3</sup>, M. Matsushita<sup>6</sup>, J. Menfedz<sup>6</sup>, S. Momiyama<sup>1,4</sup>, T. Motobayashi<sup>4</sup>, M. Nikura<sup>1</sup>, F. Nowacki<sup>7</sup>, K. Ogata<sup>6,9</sup>, H. Otsu<sup>2</sup>, T. Otsuka<sup>1,5,4</sup>, C. Perron<sup>3</sup>, S. Pérru<sup>10</sup>, A. Peyaud<sup>3</sup>, E. C. Pollacco<sup>3</sup>, A. Poves<sup>11</sup>, J. Y. Rousse<sup>3</sup>, H. Sakurai<sup>1,4</sup>, A. Schwenk<sup>1,4,1,2,1</sup>, Y. Shiga<sup>2,4,1</sup>, J. Simoni<sup>5,1,2,1,5</sup>, S. Rstrocher<sup>25</sup>, S. Takeuch<sup>1,7</sup>, Y. Tsunda<sup>4</sup>, T. Uesaka<sup>2</sup>, H. Wang<sup>2</sup>, F. Browne<sup>17</sup>, J. X. Chung<sup>18</sup>, Z. Dombrad<sup>19</sup>, S. Franchoo<sup>30</sup>, F. Giacoppo<sup>21</sup>, A. Gottardo<sup>20</sup>, K. Hadyńska-Klęk<sup>2,4</sup>, Z. Korkulu<sup>19</sup>, S. Koyama<sup>1,4</sup>, Y. Kubota<sup>2,6</sup>, J. Lee<sup>2,4</sup>, M. Lettmann<sup>4</sup>, C. Louchart<sup>4</sup>, R. Lozev<sup>2,7,2</sup>, K. Masul<sup>2,4,7</sup>, S. Suntika<sup>1,5,5</sup>, D. Suzekli<sup>2,5,6</sup>, D. Suzekli<sup>2,5,7</sup>, D. Suzekl<sup>1,2,5</sup>, D. Stefan<sup>30</sup>, D. Stepenbeck<sup>6</sup>, T. Sumika<sup>1,5,6</sup>, D. Suzekl<sup>1,5,7</sup>, Z. Wajta<sup>10</sup>, Y. Werner<sup>4</sup>, J. K. W<sup>2,5,8</sup>, C. Shand<sup>44</sup>, P. A. Söderströn<sup>2</sup>, R. Stefan<sup>30</sup>, D. Stepenbeck<sup>1,5</sup>, S. Suntika<sup>1,5,6</sup>, D. Suzekl<sup>1,5,7</sup>, D. Suzekl<sup>1,5,7</sup>, S. Usekl<sup>5,7</sup>, W. Werner<sup>4</sup>, J. Ku<sup>2,5,8</sup>, K. Xu<sup>2</sup>

### R. Taniuchi et al., NATURE 569, 53-58 (2019)

F. Nowacki et al., PRL **177**, 272501 (2016)



# Island of Inversion Mergers





### **Island of Inversion Mergers**



### The N=40 and N=50 Iol's merge like the N=20 and N=28 Iol's did





### Landscape of medium mass nuclei



### $\diamond$ Strongly deformed states at N = Z:

- Configuration mixing in <sup>72</sup>Kr
- Most deformed cases for <sup>76</sup>Sr, <sup>80</sup>Zr
- Shape transition between <sup>84</sup>Mo and <sup>86</sup>Mo NSCL/GRETINA Experiment

R.D.O. Llewellyn et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 152501 (2020)



FIG. 3. Schematics of the  $B(E2\downarrow)$  values for the N = Z nuclei



### $\diamond$ Strongly deformed states at N=Z

- Configuration mixing in <sup>72</sup>Kr
- Most deformed cases for <sup>76</sup>Sr, <sup>80</sup>Zr
- Shape transition between <sup>84</sup>Mo and <sup>86</sup>Mo NSCL/GRETINA Experiment





			B(E2	?)(e <sup>2</sup> .fm <sup>4</sup> )	
nucleus	NpNh*	ZRP	PHF	DNO-SM	Exp.
<sup>76</sup> Se	4p-4h 8p-8h 12p-12h	924 2189 2316	806 2101 -	1847	2220
<sup>80</sup> Zr	4p-4h 8p-8h 12p-12h	587 1713 2663	637 1509 2396	2325	1910

### $\diamond$ Strongly deformed states at N = Z

- Configuration mixing in <sup>72</sup>Kr
- Most deformed cases for <sup>76</sup>Sr, <sup>80</sup>Zr
- Shape transition between <sup>84</sup>Mo and <sup>86</sup>Mo NSCL/GRETINA Experiment





				B(E2)(e <sup>2</sup> .fm <sup>4</sup>	)	
nucleus	Np-Nh*	ZRP	PHF	DNO-SM*	SM	Exp.
<sup>84</sup> Mo	4p-4h 8p-8h	1104 1891	1193 1732	1765	-	$1740^{+580}_{-730}$
<sup>86</sup> Mo	0p-0h 2p-2h 4p-4h 6p-6h	542 1030 1416 1858	196 871 1179 1655	1184	731	707(71)

### $\diamond$ Strongly deformed states at N = Z

- Configuration mixing in <sup>72</sup>Kr
- Most deformed cases for <sup>76</sup>Sr, <sup>80</sup>Zr
- Shape transition between <sup>84</sup>Mo and <sup>86</sup>Mo NSCL/GRETINA Experiment







### $\diamond$ Strongly deformed states at N = Z

- Configuration mixing in <sup>72</sup>Kr
- Most deformed cases for <sup>76</sup>Sr, <sup>80</sup>Zr
- Shape transition between <sup>84</sup>Mo and <sup>86</sup>Mo NSCL/GRETINA Experiment





	B(E2)(e <sup>2</sup> .fm <sup>4</sup>	1	
		,	
PHF	DNO-SM*	SM	Exp.
1193 1732	1765	-	$1740^{+580}_{-730}$
196 871 1179 1655	1184	731	707(71)
	PHF 1193 1732 196 871 1179 1655	PHF         DNO-SM*           1193         1765           1732         1765           196         871           871         1184           1179         1655	PHF         DNO-SM*         SM           1193         1765         -           1732         1765         -           196         871         1184         731           1179         1655         -         -



### Summary

- Monopole drift develops in all regions but the Interplay between correlations (pairing + quadrupole) and spherical mean-field (monopole field) determines the physics. It can vary from :
  - island of deformation at N=20 and N=40
  - deformation at Z=14, N=28 for  $^{42}\text{Si}$  and shell weakening at Z=28, N=50 for  $^{78}\text{Ni}$
- The "islands of inversion" appear due to the effect of the correlations, hence they could also be called "islands of enhanced collectivity". As quadrupole correlations are dominant in this region, most of thei inhabitants are deformed rotors. Shape transitions and coexistence show up everywhere
- Quadrupole energies can be huge and understood in terms of symmetries

- even at the drip in fluorine isotopes, bound approximation holds
- strong superfluid regime with pair scattering from sd to pf shells
- odd-even Sn energies staggering does not seem to originate from continuum coupling

Special thanks to:

- D. D. Dao, G. Martinez-Pinedo, A. Poves, S. Lenzi, K. Sieja
- A. Gade, O. Sorlin, A. Obertelli
- J. Herzfeld-Nowacki