

Chapter 14 – Homework Solutions

1. Consider bosonic creation and destruction operators, a^\dagger and a . Consider a linear combination,

$$b = \alpha a + \beta a^\dagger$$

What is the constraint on the complex numbers α and β if one is to demand that $[b, b^\dagger] = 1$?

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} [b, b^\dagger] &= [(\alpha a + \beta a^\dagger), (\alpha^* a^\dagger + \beta^* a)] \\ &= |\alpha|^2 [a, a^\dagger] + |\beta|^2 [a^\dagger, a] + \beta \alpha^* [a^\dagger, a^\dagger] + \alpha \beta^* [a, a] \\ &= (|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2) [a, a^\dagger] \\ &= |\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

This can be equivalently states as $\alpha = e^{i\gamma} \cosh \eta$, $\beta = e^{i\delta} \sinh \eta$, where γ , δ and η can be any real numbers.

2. Consider two oscillator levels described by the creation operators, a_1^\dagger and a_2^\dagger , where the Hamiltonian is

$$H = \epsilon_1 a_1^\dagger a_1 + \epsilon_2 a_2^\dagger a_2 + \beta(a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger + a_1 a_2).$$

Consider the operators

$$b_1^\dagger \equiv \cosh \eta a_1^\dagger + \sinh \eta a_2,$$

$$b_2^\dagger \equiv \cosh \eta a_2^\dagger + \sinh \eta a_1.$$

- (a) Show that b_i and b_i^\dagger behave like creation/destruction operators.
 (b) Find the values of η , E_0 , E_1 and E_2 that allow H to be written as

$$H = E_0 + E_1 b_1^\dagger b_1 + E_2 b_2^\dagger b_2.$$

This is known as a Bogoliubov transformation.

Solution:

a)

$$\begin{aligned} [b_1, b_1^\dagger] &= [\cosh \eta a_1 + \sinh \eta a_2^\dagger, \cosh \eta a_1^\dagger + \sinh \eta a_2] \\ &= \cosh^2 \eta - \sinh^2 \eta = 1 \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [b_1, b_2^\dagger] &= [\cosh \eta a_1 + \sinh \eta a_2^\dagger, \cosh \eta a_2^\dagger + \sinh \eta a_1] \\ &= \cosh \eta \sinh \eta (1 - 1) = 0 \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Take h.c. of previous to show $[b_2, b_1^\dagger] = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} [b_2, b_2^\dagger] &= [\cosh \eta a_2 + \sinh \eta a_1^\dagger, \cosh \eta a_2^\dagger + \sinh \eta a_1] \\ &= \cosh^2 \eta - \sinh^2 \eta = 1 \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [b_1^\dagger, b_2^\dagger] &= [\cosh \eta a_1^\dagger + \sinh \eta a_2, \cosh \eta a_2^\dagger + \sinh \eta a_1] \\ &= -\cosh \eta \sinh \eta + \cosh \eta \sinh \eta = 0 \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Take h.c. of previous to show $[b_1, b_2] = 0$.

b) First write H in terms of $a_1, a_2, a_1^\dagger, a_2^\dagger$,

$$\begin{aligned} H &= E_0 + E_1 b_1^\dagger b_1 + E_2 b_2^\dagger b_2 \\ &= E_0 + E_1 (\cosh \eta a_1^\dagger + \sinh \eta a_2) (\cosh \eta a_1 + \sinh \eta a_2^\dagger) \\ &\quad + E_2 (\sinh \eta a_1 + \cosh \eta a_2^\dagger) (\sinh \eta a_1^\dagger + \cosh \eta a_2) \\ &= E_0 + (E_1 \cosh^2 \eta + E_2 \sinh^2 \eta) a_1^\dagger a_1 + (E_1 \sinh^2 \eta + E_2 \cosh^2 \eta) a_2^\dagger a_2 \\ &\quad + (E_1 \cosh \eta \sinh \eta + E_2 \cosh \eta \sinh \eta) (a_2^\dagger a_1^\dagger + a_1 a_2) + (E_1 + E_2) \sinh^2 \eta \end{aligned}$$

Equate it to the form

$$H = \epsilon_1 a_1^\dagger a_1 + \epsilon_2 a_2^\dagger a_2 + \beta(a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger + a_1 a_2).$$

This requires

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \frac{1}{2}(E_1 + E_2) \sinh 2\eta = \beta, \\ (2) \quad & E_1 \cosh^2 \eta + E_2 \sinh^2 \eta = \epsilon_1, \\ (3) \quad & E_1 \sinh^2 \eta + E_2 \cosh^2 \eta = \epsilon_2, \quad (4) \quad - (E_1 + E_2) \sinh^2 \eta = E_0. \end{aligned}$$

Solving for η, E_1, E_2, E_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned} (2) - (3) & \rightarrow E_1 - E_2 = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \\ (1) + (2) & \rightarrow (E_1 + E_2) \cosh 2\eta = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2. \end{aligned}$$

Combine with (1)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \tanh 2\eta &= \frac{\beta}{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}, \\ \eta &= \frac{1}{2} \tanh^{-1} \left(\frac{2\beta}{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2} \right), \\ E_1 + E_2 &= (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) \operatorname{sech} 2\eta, \\ E_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \{ \epsilon_1 (\operatorname{sech} 2\eta + 1) + \epsilon_2 (\operatorname{sech} 2\eta - 1) \}, \\ E_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \{ \epsilon_1 (\operatorname{sech} 2\eta - 1) + \epsilon_2 (\operatorname{sech} 2\eta + 1) \}, \\ E_0 &= -(E_1 + E_2) \sinh^2 \eta = -(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) \frac{\sinh^2 \eta}{\cosh 2\eta} \\ \sinh^2 \eta &= \frac{1}{2} (\cosh 2\eta - 1), \\ E_0 &= -\frac{\cosh 2\eta - 1}{2 \cosh 2\eta} (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) \\ &= -(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) (1 - \operatorname{sech} 2\eta). \end{aligned}$$

3. Consider the coherent state $|\eta\rangle$ defined by,

$$|\eta\rangle = e^{-\eta^* \eta / 2} \exp(\eta a^\dagger) |0\rangle.$$

(a) Show that $|\eta\rangle$ can also be written as

$$|\eta\rangle = e^{-\eta^* a + \eta a^\dagger} |0\rangle.$$

Hint: You may wish to use the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff lemma.

(b) Show that the overlap of two states is given by,

$$\langle \eta' | \eta \rangle = e^{-|\eta'|^2 / 2 - |\eta|^2 / 2 + \eta'^* \eta}.$$

Solution:

a) From BCH lemma, (using the fact that $[a, a^\dagger] = \text{number}$)

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\eta^* a + \eta a^\dagger} |0\rangle &= e^{\eta a^\dagger} e^{\eta^* a} e^{-\eta^* \eta [a, a^\dagger] / 2} |0\rangle, \\ &= e^{-\eta^* \eta / 2} e^{\eta a^\dagger} e^{\eta^* a} |0\rangle \\ &= e^{-\eta^* \eta / 2} e^{\eta a^\dagger} |0\rangle \end{aligned}$$

b)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \eta' | \eta \rangle &= e^{-\eta'^* \eta' / 2} \langle 0 | e^{\eta'^* a} | \eta \rangle \\ &= e^{-\eta'^* \eta' / 2} e^{\eta'^* \eta} \langle 0 | \eta \rangle \\ &= e^{-\eta'^* \eta' / 2} e^{\eta'^* \eta} e^{-\eta^* \eta / 2}. \end{aligned}$$

4. Consider a coherent state

$$|\eta\rangle = e^{-\eta^* \eta/2} e^{\eta a^\dagger} |0\rangle.$$

- (a) Show that $\bar{N} = \langle \eta | N_{\text{op}} | \eta \rangle = \eta^* \eta$, where $N_{\text{op}} = a^\dagger a$ is the number operator.
(b) Show that the variance equals the mean, i.e.,

$$\langle \eta | (N_{\text{op}} - \bar{N})^2 | \eta \rangle = \bar{N}.$$

This is characteristic of a Poissonian distribution.

Solution:

a) Because $|\eta\rangle$ is an eigenstate of a ,

$$\langle \eta | a^\dagger a | \eta \rangle = \eta^* \eta \langle \eta | \eta \rangle = \eta^* \eta.$$

b)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \eta | ((a^\dagger a - \bar{N})^2 | \eta \rangle &= \langle \eta | (a^\dagger a a^\dagger a | \eta \rangle + \bar{N}^2 - 2\bar{N} \langle \eta | a^\dagger a | \eta \rangle \\ &= \langle \eta | (a^\dagger a a^\dagger a | \eta \rangle - \bar{N}^2 \\ &= \langle \eta | (a^\dagger a^\dagger a a | \eta \rangle + \langle \eta | a^\dagger a | \eta \rangle - \bar{N}^2 \\ &= (\eta^*)^2 \eta^2 - \bar{N}^2 + \bar{N} \\ &= \bar{N}. \end{aligned}$$